

On the cohomology of Frobenius algebras II*

Crossed products

Katsunori Sanada

*Department of Mathematics, Science University of Tokyo, Wakamiya 26, Shinjuku-ku,
Tokyo 162, Japan*

Communicated by P.J. Freyd

Received 13 October 1988

Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the previous paper [7]. Let R be a commutative ring. Let Γ be a Frobenius algebra over R and Λ be a Frobenius R -subalgebra of Γ such that the extension of rings Γ/Λ is a Frobenius extension. The purpose of this paper is to give certain relations between the complete cohomology group in the sense of Nakayama [6] of Γ and that of Λ , along the cohomology theory of finite groups as in [2, 3, 5, 8]. More precisely, to reduce the calculation of $H^*(\Gamma, -)$ to that of $H^*(\Lambda, -)$ by defining a *restriction map*, a *corestriction map*, and in particular a *conjugation map* when Γ is a crossed product over Λ .

In Section 1, we will show some general facts for a Frobenius extension Γ of a Frobenius R -algebra Λ , and we will define the restriction map $\text{Res} : H^*(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^*(\Lambda, M)$ and the corestriction map $\text{Cor} : H^*(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma, M)$ for any two-sided Γ -module M . We will prove some fundamental properties of them (Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3). In Section 2, let Λ be a commutative Frobenius R -algebra with a finite group G of automorphisms of Λ over R . Suppose that Γ is a crossed product over Λ with any normalized factor set; $\Gamma = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \bigoplus \Lambda w_{\sigma}$. Then we define the conjugation map $\gamma_{\tau} : H^*(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^*(\Lambda, M)$ for $\tau \in G$ and for any two-sided Γ -module M , and prove some fundamental properties (Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2). In Section 2.2, we describe the main results using the above materials under certain conditions with $R = \mathbb{Z}$, that

Correspondence to: K. Sanada, Department of Mathematics, Science University of Tokyo, Wakamiya 26, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162, Japan.

* This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (No. 63740061), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

is, for a prime integer l such that $l \mid N_r(\Gamma)$ and $l \nmid |G|$, we have $\text{Res}(H^r(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}) = [H^r(\Lambda, M)_{(l)}]^G$ (Proposition 2.3), and then $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ has an invertible element of nonzero degree if and only if so does $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus H^r(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ (Theorem 2.4). In Section 3, as applications of Theorem 2.4 and [7, Proposition 3.8], we will investigate the periodicity of the cohomology groups of crossed products which appear as \mathbb{Z} -orders in a quaternion \mathbb{Q} -algebra (Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2).

We will maintain the notation and terminology of [7].

1. Restriction and corestriction on the complete cohomology

1.1. Frobenius extensions of Frobenius algebras

Let Γ/Λ be a Frobenius extension of rings of free rank m (see [4]); Γ has a right Λ -basis (a_i) and a left Λ -basis (b_i) :

$$\Gamma = a_1 \Lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus a_m \Lambda = \Lambda b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda b_m,$$

which satisfy

$$xa_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \beta_{ji}(x), \quad b_j x = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{ji}(x) b_i$$

for every $x \in \Gamma$ and some $\beta_{ji}(x) \in \Lambda$. In such a case, we call a pair $(a_i), (b_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) the *dual bases* of Γ/Λ . Then we have a left Γ -, right Λ -module isomorphism:

$$\phi_{\Gamma/\Lambda} : {}_{\Gamma}\Gamma_{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda, -}(\Gamma_{\Gamma}, {}_{\Lambda}\Lambda)$$

by setting $\phi_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(a_i)(b_j) = \delta_{ij}$, and also we have a left Λ -, right Γ -module isomorphism:

$$\phi'_{\Gamma/\Lambda} : {}_{\Lambda}\Gamma_{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{-, \Lambda}({}_{\Gamma}\Gamma, {}_{\Lambda}\Lambda)$$

by setting $\phi'_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_j)(a_i) = \delta_{ij}$.

Put $\mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda} = \phi_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(1)$ and $N_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x b_i$ for $x \in \Gamma$. Then it is easy to see that $\mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}$ is a two-sided Λ -module homomorphism and

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(xa_i)b_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_j x)$$

for $x \in \Gamma$. In addition, we assume that Λ is a Frobenius algebra over a commutative ring R of free rank n (i.e. the extension of rings Λ/R is a Frobenius extension

in the above sense); that is, Λ has the R -dual bases $(u_i), (v_i)^\Delta$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) which satisfies

$$yu_i = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \alpha_{ji}(y), \quad v_j y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ji}(y) v_i$$

for every $y \in \Lambda$ and some $\alpha_{ji}(y) \in R$. Then, similarly as in the above, we have a left Λ -isomorphism

$$\phi_\Lambda : {}_\Lambda \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^* = \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda_\Lambda, R)$$

by setting $\phi_\Lambda(u_i)(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$. If we put $\mu_\Lambda = \phi_\Lambda(1)$, then

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_\Lambda(yu_i)v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \mu_\Lambda(v_j y)$$

holds for $y \in \Lambda$. And we put $N_\Lambda(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i y v_i$ and $y^{\Delta'} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_\Lambda(u_i y) v_i$. We call N_Λ and Δ' the *norm* and the *Nakayama automorphism* of Λ/R , respectively. Then Γ has the R -dual bases $(a_i u_j), (v_j b_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$), which satisfies

$$xa_i u_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n a_k u_l \beta_{lj}(\alpha_{ki}(x)), \quad v_l b_k x = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{lj}(\alpha_{ki}(x)) v_j b_i$$

for $x \in \Gamma$. This says that Γ is a Frobenius R -algebra of free rank mn . And then we have a left Γ -isomorphism

$$\phi_\Gamma : {}_\Gamma \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_R(\Gamma_\Gamma, R)$$

by setting $\phi_\Gamma(a_i u_j)(v_l b_k) = \delta_{(i,j),(k,l)}$. Similarly as in the above, if we put $\mu_\Gamma = \phi_\Gamma(1)$, then

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_\Gamma(xa_i u_j) v_j b_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n a_k u_l \mu_\Gamma(v_l b_k x)$$

holds for $x \in \Gamma$. In such a case, the norm and the Nakayama automorphism of Γ/R are given by

$$N_\Gamma(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_i u_j x v_j b_i, \quad x^\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_\Gamma(a_i u_j x) v_j b_i$$

for $x \in \Gamma$, respectively. ∇ denotes the inverse automorphism of Δ . Furthermore, it is easily verified that

$$N_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \cdot N_\Lambda = N_\Gamma, \quad \mu_\Lambda \cdot \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda} = \mu_\Gamma, \quad \Delta|_\Lambda = \Delta'.$$

1.2. Restriction and corestriction

Notation and assumption being as in Section 1.1, for simplicity, we put

$$\Sigma = \Gamma \otimes_R \Gamma^\circ, \quad \Omega = \Lambda \otimes_R \Lambda^\circ, \quad \Phi = \Lambda \otimes_R \Gamma^\circ,$$

where Γ° and Λ° denote the opposite rings of Γ and Λ , respectively. And putting

$$(X_\Gamma)_p = \Gamma \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R \Gamma \quad ((p+2) \text{ copies of } \Gamma),$$

$$(X_\Lambda)_p = \Lambda \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R \Lambda \quad ((p+2) \text{ copies of } \Lambda)$$

for $p \geq 0$, (X_Γ, d) and (X_Λ, d) denote the canonical Σ -free resolution of Γ and the canonical Ω -free resolution of Λ as in [7, Section 1.1], respectively. For simplicity, an element $x_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p \otimes x_{p+1} \in (X_\Gamma)_p$ is denoted by $x_0[x_1, \dots, x_p]x_{p+1}$, and so on. Let M be a left Σ -module. Note that M is also regarded as a left Ω - and a left Φ -module. Then we have the following chain complexes which give the cohomology group $H^p(\Gamma, M)$ (resp. $H^p(\Lambda, M)$) for $p \geq 0$ and the modified homology group associated to the Nakayama automorphism $H_q^\Delta(\Gamma, M)$ (resp. $H_q^{\Delta'}(\Lambda, M)$) for $q \geq 0$ of Γ (resp. Λ):

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\longleftarrow \text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_1, M) \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} \text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_0, M) = M \\ &\xleftarrow{N_\Gamma} M = (X_\Gamma)_0^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes i} (X_\Gamma)_1^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M \longleftarrow \cdots, \\ \cdots &\longleftarrow \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_1, M) \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_0, M) = M \\ &\xleftarrow{N_\Lambda} M = (X_\Lambda)_0^{\Delta'} \otimes_\Omega M \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes i} (X_\Lambda)_1^{\Delta'} \otimes_\Omega M \longleftarrow \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. By means of the complete cohomology and the complete modified homology groups, we may have the identifications: $H^r(\Gamma, M) \simeq H_{-r-1}^\Delta(\Gamma, M)$, $H^r(\Lambda, M) \simeq H_{-r-1}^{\Delta'}(\Lambda, M)$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [6, Section 3] and [7, Lemma 1.1]).

Now, as a left Φ -free resolution of Γ , we can use (X_Γ, d) and

$$\cdots \rightarrow (X_\Lambda)_{p-1} \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{d_p} (X_\Lambda)_{p-2} \otimes \Gamma \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Gamma \rightarrow 0,$$

and, as a right Φ -free resolution of Γ , we can use (X_Γ, d) and

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes (X_\Lambda)_{p-1} \xrightarrow{d_p} \Gamma \otimes (X_\Lambda)_{p-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

We define the left Φ -module homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_p : (X_\Gamma)_p &\rightarrow (X_\Lambda)_{p-1} \otimes \Gamma, \\
y_0[y_1, \dots, y_p]y_{p+1} &\mapsto \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}=1}^m \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(y_0 a_{i_1}) \\
&\quad \times [\mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_1} y_1 a_{i_2}), \dots, \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_p} y_p a_{i_{p+1}})] b_{i_{p+1}} y_{p+1},
\end{aligned}$$

and we also define the right Φ -module homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau'_q : (X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta &\rightarrow (\Gamma \otimes (X_\Lambda)_{q-1})^\Delta, \\
y_0[y_1, \dots, y_q]y_{q+1} &\mapsto \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{q+1}=1}^m y_0 a_{i_1} \\
&\quad \times [\mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_1} y_1 a_{i_2}), \dots, \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_q} y_q a_{i_{q+1}})] \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_{q+1}} y_{q+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where both sides of the above sequence are regarded as right Φ -modules by

$$w \cdot (x \otimes y^\circ) = y^\nabla w x \quad (1.1)$$

for $x \otimes y^\circ \in \Phi$, $w \in (X_\Gamma)_q$ or $w \in \Gamma \otimes (X_\Lambda)_{q-1}$. Then it is easy to see that τ and τ' are chain transformations lifting the identity maps $\Gamma \xrightarrow{=} \Gamma$ and $\Gamma^\Delta \xrightarrow{=} \Gamma^\Delta$, respectively. Thus we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. *The isomorphism $\text{Ext}_\Phi^p(\Gamma, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^p(\Lambda, M)$ for $p \geq 1$ is induced from the homomorphisms on the cochain level:*

$$\text{Hom}_\Phi((X_\Gamma)_p, M) \xrightleftharpoons[\tau_p^\#]{} \text{Hom}_\Phi((X_\Lambda)_{p-1} \otimes \Gamma, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_p, M), \quad (1.2)$$

where the above right-arrow denotes a natural homomorphism and $\tau_p^\#$ denotes the map induced from τ_p . The isomorphism $\text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_q^{\Delta'}(\Lambda, M)$ for $q \geq 1$ is induced from the homomorphisms on the chain level:

$$(X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Phi M \xrightleftharpoons[\tau_q^{\prime\#}]{} (\Gamma \otimes (X_\Lambda)_{q-1})^\Delta \otimes_\Phi M \simeq (X_\Lambda)_q^{\Delta'} \otimes_\Omega M, \quad (1.3)$$

where the above left-arrow denotes a natural homomorphism and $\tau_q^{\prime\#}$ denotes the map induced from τ'_q , where $\text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M)$ denotes the homology group of $Y^\Delta \otimes_\Phi M$ with Y^Δ the right Φ -resolution modified as in (1.1) of a right Φ -projective resolution Y of Γ . \square

First we will define a restriction map $\text{Res}^r : H^r(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Lambda, M)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\text{res}^p : H^p(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Phi^p(\Gamma, M)$ for $p \geq 1$ be the homomorphism induced from a natural map $\text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_p, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Phi((X_\Gamma)_p, M)$, and let $\text{res}_q : H_q^\Delta(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow$

$\text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M)$ for $q \geq 1$ be the homomorphism induced from

$$(X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M \rightarrow (X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Phi M, \quad y \otimes_\Sigma x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m ya_i \otimes_\Phi b_i x.$$

Then, composing the isomorphisms in Lemma 1.1, it will be seen that

$$\widetilde{\text{res}}^p : H^p(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^p(\Lambda, M)$$

for $p \geq 1$ is induced from a natural map $\text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_p, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_p, M)$, and

$$\widetilde{\text{res}}_q : H_q^\Delta(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H_q^{\Delta'}(\Lambda, M)$$

for $q \geq 1$ is induced from

$$\begin{aligned} (X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M &\rightarrow (X_\Lambda)_q^{\Delta'} \otimes_\Omega M, \\ [y_1, \dots, y_q] \otimes_\Sigma x &\mapsto \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{q+1}=1}^m [\mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_1}y_1a_{i_2}), \dots, \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_q}y_qa_{i_{q+1}})] \\ &\quad \otimes_\Omega b_{i_{q+1}}xa_{i_1}^\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we set

$$\widetilde{\text{res}}^0 = \iota_M, \quad \widetilde{\text{res}}_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i xa_i^\Delta \quad (x \in M).$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_1, M) & \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} & M & \xleftarrow{N_\Gamma} & M & \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes \iota} & (X_\Gamma)_1^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \widetilde{\text{res}}^1 & & \downarrow \widetilde{\text{res}}^0 & & \downarrow \widetilde{\text{res}}_0 & & \downarrow \widetilde{\text{res}}_1 & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_1, M) & \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} & M & \xleftarrow{N_\Lambda} & M & \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes \iota} & (X_\Lambda)_1^{\Delta'} \otimes_\Omega M & \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Thus we can define the restriction map

$$\text{Res}^r : H^r(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Lambda, M) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z})$$

such that $\text{Res}^r = \widetilde{\text{res}}^r$ ($r \geq 0$), $= \widetilde{\text{res}}_{-r-1}$ ($r \leq -1$). In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}^0 : M^\Gamma/N_\Gamma(M) &\rightarrow M^\Lambda/N_\Lambda(M), \quad \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{x}, \\ \text{Res}^{-1} : M/N_\Gamma(M) &\rightarrow M/N_\Lambda(M), \quad \bar{x} \mapsto \overline{\sum_{i=1}^m b_i xa_i^\Delta} \end{aligned}$$

(see [7, Section 1] for notation).

Next we will define a *corestriction map* $\text{Cor}' : H^r(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma, M)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$). Let $\text{cor}^p : \text{Ext}_\phi^p(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^p(\Gamma, M)$ for $p \geq 1$ be the homomorphism induced from

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_\phi((X_\Gamma)_p, M) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_p, M), \\ f &\mapsto \left(x \mapsto \sum_i a_i f(b_i x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and let $\text{cor}_q : \text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H_q^\Delta(\Gamma, M)$ for $q \geq 1$ be the homomorphism induced from a natural map $(X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\phi M \rightarrow (X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M$. Then, composing the isomorphisms in Lemma 1.1, it will be seen that

$$\widetilde{\text{cor}}^p : H^p(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^p(\Gamma, M)$$

for $p \geq 1$ is induced from

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_p, M) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_p, M), \\ g &\mapsto (y_0[y_1, \dots, y_p]y_{p+1} \mapsto \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}=1}^m y_0 a_{i_1} \\ &\quad \cdot g([\mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_1} y_1 a_{i_2}), \dots, \mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(b_{i_p} y_p a_{i_{p+1}})]) \cdot b_{i_{p+1}} y_{p+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\text{cor}}_q : H_q^{\Delta'}(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H_q^\Delta(\Gamma, M)$$

for $q \geq 1$ is induced from a natural map $(X_\Lambda)_q^{\Delta'} \otimes_\Omega M \rightarrow (X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M$. Furthermore we set

$$\widetilde{\text{cor}}^0 = N_{\Gamma/\Lambda}, \quad \widetilde{\text{cor}}_0 = \iota_M.$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_1, M) & \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} & M & \xleftarrow{N_\Gamma} & M \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes \iota} (X_\Gamma)_1^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \uparrow \widetilde{\text{cor}}^1 & & \uparrow \widetilde{\text{cor}}^0 & & \uparrow \widetilde{\text{cor}}_0 \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_1, M) & \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} & M & \xleftarrow{N_\Lambda} & M \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes \iota} (X_\Lambda)_1^{\Delta'} \otimes_\Omega M \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & & & \uparrow \widetilde{\text{cor}}_1 & & \uparrow \widetilde{\text{cor}}_1 \end{array}$$

Thus we can define the corestriction map

$$\text{Cor}' : H^r(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma, M) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z})$$

such that $\text{Cor}' = \widetilde{\text{cor}}'$ ($r \geq 0$), $= \widetilde{\text{cor}}_{-r-1}$ ($r \leq -1$). In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cor}^0 : M^\Lambda/N_\Lambda(M) &\rightarrow M^\Gamma/N_\Gamma(M), & \bar{x} &\mapsto \overline{N_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(\bar{x})}, \\ \text{Cor}^{-1} : {}_{N_\Lambda}M/I_\Lambda(M) &\rightarrow {}_{N_\Gamma}M/I_\Gamma(M), & \bar{x} &\mapsto \bar{x}.\end{aligned}$$

These maps satisfy the following fundamental properties:

Lemma 1.2. (i) *Given homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of left Σ -modules,*

$$\begin{aligned}f^* \cdot \text{Res}^r &= \text{Res}^r \cdot f^* : H^r(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow H^r(\Lambda, B) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ f^* \cdot \text{Cor}^r &= \text{Cor}^r \cdot f^* : H^r(\Lambda, A) \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma, B) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}),\end{aligned}$$

where f^* denotes the appropriate homomorphism of cohomology groups induced from f .

(ii) *Given short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial \cdot \text{Res}^r &= \text{Res}^{r+1} \cdot \partial : H^r(\Gamma, C) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Lambda, A) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ \partial \cdot \text{Cor}^r &= \text{Cor}^{r+1} \cdot \partial : H^r(\Lambda, C) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Gamma, A) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}),\end{aligned}$$

where ∂ denotes the appropriate connecting homomorphism.

(iii) *Given left Σ -module M ,*

$$\text{Cor}^r \cdot \text{Res}^r(w) = N_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(1)w \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z})$$

for $w \in H^r(\Gamma, M)$.

Proof. (i) It is easily verified. (ii) follows from the fact that $(X_\Gamma)_p$ and $(X_\Lambda)_p$ ($p \geq 0$) are left Σ -projective and left Ω -projective, respectively, and that $(X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta$ and $(X_\Lambda)_q^\Delta$ ($q \geq 0$) are right Σ -flat and right Ω -flat, respectively (cf. [7, Lemma 1.1]). (iii) Note that $N_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(1) \in Z\Gamma$ and the $Z\Gamma$ -operation on $H^r(\Gamma, M)$ (cf. [7, Section 1]). Then the statement will follow from the constructions of res^p , res_q , cor^p , cor_q , etc. \square

Remark 2. The restriction map $\text{Res}^r : H^r(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Lambda, M)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) may be defined to be the map induced from a natural homomorphism $\text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_r, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Gamma)_r, M)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$), by means of a complete resolution $(X_\Gamma)_r$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) (cf. [7, Section 1]). And also the corestriction map $\text{Cor}^r : H_{-r-1}^\Delta(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H_{-r-1}^\Delta(\Gamma, M)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) may be defined to be the map induced from a natural homomorphism $(X_\Gamma)_{-r-1}^\Delta \otimes_\Omega M \rightarrow (X_\Gamma)_{-r-1}^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M$. But these definitions are inconvenient for explicit calculation of these maps and to see the relationship between them. Accordingly, as in the above, we defined Res^r and Cor^r on positive degree and negative degree separately, and then combine them. Of course, these two kind of definitions coincide, which will be seen by *dimension-shifting* [7, Corollary 1.5] and Lemma 1.2.

Given left Σ -modules A and B , let $A \otimes_A B \rightarrow A \otimes_F B$ be a canonical left Σ -module homomorphism induced from the embedding $\iota : \Lambda \hookrightarrow F$. Then we have a *modified cup product*

$$\cup_\iota : H^r(\Lambda, A) \otimes_{Z\Lambda} H^s(\Lambda, B) \rightarrow H^{r+s}(\Lambda, A \otimes_F B)$$

(see [7, Section 2]).

Lemma 1.3. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^r(\Gamma, A) \otimes_R H^s(\Gamma, B) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}^r \otimes \text{Res}^s} & H^r(\Lambda, A) \otimes_{Z\Lambda} H^s(\Lambda, B) \\ \downarrow \cup & & \downarrow \cup_\iota \\ H^{r+s}(\Gamma, A \otimes_F B) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}^{r+s}} & H^{r+s}(\Lambda, A \otimes_F B) \end{array} \quad (1.4)$$

Proof. We use induction on a pair of integers (r, s) . The result is clear from the definition of cup product [7, Section 2, (PIII)] when $(r, s) = (0, 0)$. Here, we notice that the modified cup product \cup_ι satisfies the similar condition as [7, Section 2 (PII)]. Assume that the diagram (1.4) is commutative for some (r, s) . Then, by means of four kinds of *dimension-shifting* [7, Corollary 1.5] and Lemma 1.2(ii), we know that the diagram (1.4) is commutative for $(r \pm 1, s \pm 1)$ (cf. [7, Section 2.2]). \square

Thus Res gives a ring homomorphism of graded rings:

$$\text{Res}^* : (H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma), \cup) \rightarrow (H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma), \cup_\iota).$$

2. Complete cohomology of crossed products

2.1. Conjugation

Let R be a commutative ring and Λ a Frobenius commutative R -algebra of free rank n . We suppose that Λ has a finite group G of order m of automorphisms over R and $\Lambda^G = R$. We assume an additional condition:

(C) For every $\sigma (\neq 1) \in G$, there exists $x \in \Lambda$ such that $\sigma(x) - x$ is not a zero-divisor.

Notation and assumption being as above, we consider a crossed product $\Gamma = (\Lambda, G, \theta) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \bigoplus \Lambda w_\sigma (w_\sigma w_\tau = \theta(\sigma, \tau) w_{\sigma\tau})$ for a normalized factor set θ with its values in the group $U(\Lambda)$ of all units in Λ . The condition (C) implies $Z\Gamma = R$. It is easy to see that the extension Γ/Λ is a Frobenius extension of free rank m with the dual bases $(w_\sigma), (w_\sigma^{-1})$ ($\sigma \in G$). Accordingly, we will succeed the notation as in Section 1 and we notice that $\{a_i\} = \{w_\sigma\}$, $\{b_i\} = \{w_\sigma^{-1}\}$,

$\mu_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(w_\tau^{-1}w_\sigma) = \delta_{\sigma,\tau}$, $w_\tau^\Delta = w_\tau(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{\tau^{-1}} \mu_\Lambda(v_i^{\tau^{-1}}))$, $\Delta' = \iota_\Lambda$ and $\Gamma^\Lambda = \Lambda$, where we put $y^\tau = w_\tau y w_\tau^{-1}$ for $y \in \Lambda$ and $\tau \in G$.

In this section, we will define a *conjugation map* $(\gamma_\tau)^r : H^r(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Lambda, M)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) for $\tau \in G$ and a left Σ -module M dividing into four cases, as in Section 1; $r > 0$, $r = 0$, $r = -1$ and $r < -1$.

First let

$$(\gamma'_\tau)^p : \text{Ext}_\Phi^p(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Phi^p(\Gamma, M) \quad (p \geq 1)$$

be the map induced from

$$\text{Hom}_\Phi((X_\Gamma)_p, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Phi((X_\Gamma)_p, M),$$

$$g \mapsto (x \mapsto w_\tau \cdot g(w_\tau^{-1}x)),$$

and let

$$(\gamma'_\tau)_q : \text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M) \quad (q \geq 1)$$

be the map induced from

$$(X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Phi M \rightarrow (X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Phi M,$$

$$x \otimes_\Phi y \mapsto x w_\tau^{-1} \otimes_\Phi w_\tau y.$$

Composing the isomorphisms as in Lemma 1.1, we have the following homomorphisms:

$$(\tilde{\gamma}_\tau)^p : H^p(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^p(\Lambda, M), \quad \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_p, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_p, M),$$

$$g \mapsto (y_0[y_1, \dots, y_p]y_{p+1} \mapsto w_\tau \cdot g(y_0^{\tau^{-1}}[y_1^{\tau^{-1}}, \dots, y_p^{\tau^{-1}}]y_{p+1}^{\tau^{-1}}) \cdot w_\tau^{-1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\gamma}_\tau)_q : H_q(\Lambda, M) &\rightarrow H_q(\Lambda, M), \quad (X_\Lambda)_q \otimes_\Omega M \rightarrow (X_\Lambda)_q \otimes_\Omega M, \\ [y_1, \dots, y_p] \otimes_\Omega a &\mapsto [y_1^\tau, \dots, y_p^\tau] \otimes_\Omega w_\tau^{-1} a w_\tau^{\Delta_{\tau^{-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore we set

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\gamma}_\tau)^0 : M &\rightarrow M, \quad a \mapsto w_\tau a w_\tau^{-1}, \\ (\tilde{\gamma}_\tau)_0 : M &\rightarrow M, \quad a \mapsto w_\tau^{-1} a w_\tau^{\Delta_{\tau^{-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_1, M) & \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} & M & \xleftarrow{N_\Lambda} & M \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes \iota} (X_\Lambda)_1 \otimes_\Omega M \longleftarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow (\tilde{\gamma}_r)^1 & & \downarrow (\tilde{\gamma}_r)^0 & & \downarrow (\tilde{\gamma}_r)_0 \\
\cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Hom}_\Omega((X_\Lambda)_1, M) & \xleftarrow{d_1^\#} & M & \xleftarrow{N_\Lambda} & M \xleftarrow{d_1 \otimes \iota} (X_\Lambda)_1 \otimes_\Omega M \longleftarrow \cdots \\
& & & & & & \downarrow (\tilde{\gamma}_r)_1
\end{array}$$

Thus we can define the conjugation map

$$(\gamma_r)^\tau : H^r(\Lambda, M) \rightarrow H^r(\Lambda, M) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z})$$

such that $(\gamma_r)^\tau = (\tilde{\gamma}_r)^\tau$ ($r \geq 0$), $= (\tilde{\gamma}_r)_{-r-1}$ ($r \leq -1$). Then it will be seen that γ_r satisfies the following fundamental properties:

Lemma 2.1. (i) Given homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of left Σ -modules,

$$\gamma_r \cdot f^* = f^* \cdot \gamma_r : H^r(\Lambda, A) \rightarrow H^r(\Lambda, B),$$

where f^* denotes the appropriate homomorphism of cohomology groups induced from f .

(ii) Given short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$,

$$\gamma_r \cdot \partial = \partial \cdot \gamma_r : H^r(\Lambda, C) \rightarrow H^{r+1}(\Lambda, A),$$

where ∂ denotes the appropriate connecting homomorphism.

(iii) $\gamma_\sigma \cdot \gamma_\tau = \gamma_{\sigma\tau}$, $\gamma_1 = \iota$.

Hence γ_r is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.2. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^r(\Lambda, A) \otimes_R H^s(\Lambda, B) & \xrightarrow{(\gamma_r)^\tau \otimes (\gamma_s)^\tau} & H^r(\Lambda, A) \otimes_R H^s(\Lambda, B) \\
\downarrow \cup_\iota & & \downarrow \cup_\iota \\
H^{r+s}(\Lambda, A \otimes_R B) & \xrightarrow{(\gamma_r)^\tau \otimes (\gamma_s)^\tau} & H^{r+s}(\Lambda, A \otimes_R B)
\end{array}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 1.3. \square

Therefore, γ_r is an automorphism on the graded ring $(H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma), \cup_\iota)$.

2.2. Main results

We use the same notation as in Section 2.1 with $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Then $N_r(\Gamma)$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} . Hence we denote its generator of the ideal by $N_r(\Gamma)$ again; $N_r(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$N_r(\Gamma) \cdot H^r(\Gamma, M) = 0 \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z})$$

for any left Σ -module M (cf. [1, Section 4]), we have a primary decomposition:

$$H^r(\Gamma, M) = \bigoplus_{l|N_r(\Gamma)} H^r(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}. \quad (2.3)$$

For a prime integer l such that $l \mid N_r(\Gamma)$ and $l \nmid |G|$,

$$\text{Cor}^r \cdot \text{Res}^r = N_{\Gamma/\Lambda}(1) = |G| : H^r(\Gamma, M)_{(l)} \rightarrow H^r(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}$$

is an isomorphism. It follows that Res^r is a monomorphism and Cor^r is an epimorphism on the l -primary component. We set

$$[H^r(\Lambda, M)]^G = \{x \in H^r(\Lambda, M) \mid (\gamma_\tau)^r(x) = x \text{ for all } \tau \in G\}.$$

Then we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.3. *For a prime integer l such that $l \mid N_r(\Gamma)$ and $l \nmid |G|$,*

$$\text{Res}^r(H^r(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}) = [H^r(\Lambda, M)_{(l)}]^G \quad (2.4)$$

holds.

Proof. It suffices to show that

- (i) $\text{res}^p(H^p(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}) = [\text{Ext}_\Phi^p(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}]^G$
 $:= \{\alpha \in \text{Ext}_\Phi^p(\Gamma, M)_{(l)} \mid \gamma'_\tau(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for all } \tau \in G\}$
for $p \geq 1$,
- (ii) $\text{res}_q(H_q^\Delta(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}) = [\text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}]^G$
 $:= \{\beta \in \text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M)_{(l)} \mid \gamma'_\tau(\beta) = \beta \text{ for all } \tau \in G\}$
for $q \geq 1$,

and (iii) (2.4) holds for $r = 0$ and for $r = 1$. In the following, we will prove them.

(i) For $[g] \in H^p(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}$ ($g \in \text{Hom}_\Sigma((X_\Gamma)_p, M)$), we have $\gamma'_\tau(\text{res}^p([g])) = [(x \mapsto w_\tau \cdot g(w_\tau^{-1}x))] = [g] = \text{res}^p([g])$. Conversely, let $[h] \in [\text{Ext}_\Phi^p(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}]^G$. Then we have

$$\text{res}^p \cdot \text{cor}^p([h]) = \text{res}^p \left(\left[\left(x \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in G} w_\sigma h(w_\sigma^{-1}x) \right) \right] \right) = |G| [h].$$

Since $l \nmid |G|$, it follows that $\text{res}^p(|G|^{-1} \text{cor}^p([h])) = [h]$. Thus (i) was shown.

(ii) For $[y \otimes_\Sigma x] \in H_q^\Delta(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}$ ($y \otimes_\Sigma x \in (X_\Gamma)_q^\Delta \otimes_\Sigma M$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma'_\tau(\text{res}_q([y \otimes_\Sigma x])) \\
&= \gamma'_\tau\left(\left[\sum_\sigma y w_\sigma \otimes_\Phi w_\sigma^{-1} x\right]\right) \\
&= \left[\sum_\sigma y w_\sigma w_\tau^{-1} \otimes_\Phi w_\tau w_\sigma^{-1} x\right] \\
&= \left[\sum_\sigma y w_\sigma \otimes_\Phi w_\sigma^{-1} x\right] \\
&= \text{res}_q([y \otimes_\Sigma x]) .
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $[y \otimes_\Phi x] \in [\text{Tor}_q^{\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma, M)_{(I)}]^G$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{res}_q \cdot \text{cor}_q([y \otimes_\Phi x]) \\
&= \text{res}_q([y \otimes_\Sigma x]) \\
&= \left[\sum_\sigma y w_\sigma \otimes_\Phi w_\sigma^{-1} x\right] \\
&= \sum_\sigma \gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}([y \otimes_\Phi x]) \\
&= |G| [y \otimes_\Phi x] .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (ii) was shown.

(iii) In case of $r = 0$: For $\bar{x} \in H^0(\Gamma, M)_{(I)} = (M^\Gamma / N_\Gamma(M))_{(I)}$ ($x \in M^\Gamma$), we have

$$\gamma_\tau(\text{Res}^0(\bar{x})) = \overline{w_\tau x w_\tau^{-1}} = \bar{x} = \text{Res}^0(\bar{x}) .$$

Conversely, let $\bar{x} \in [H^0(\Lambda, M)_{(I)}]^G = [(M^\Lambda / N_\Lambda(M))_{(I)}]^G$ ($x \in M^\Lambda$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}^0 \cdot \text{Cor}^0(\bar{x}) \\
&= \text{Res}^0\left(\overline{\sum_\sigma w_\sigma x w_\sigma^{-1}}\right) \\
&= \overline{\sum_\sigma w_\sigma x w_\sigma^{-1}} \\
&= \sum_\sigma \gamma_\sigma(\bar{x}) \\
&= |G| \bar{x} .
\end{aligned}$$

In case of $r = -1$: For $\bar{x} \in H^{-1}(\Gamma, M)_{(I)} = ({}_{N_\Gamma}M / I_\Gamma(M))_{(I)}$ ($x \in {}_{N_\Gamma}M$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_\tau(\text{Res}^{-1}(\bar{x})) \\
&= \gamma_\tau\left(\overline{\sum_\sigma w_\sigma^{-1} x w_\sigma^\Delta}\right) \\
&= \overline{\sum_\sigma w_{\tau^{-1}\sigma}^{-1} w_\sigma^{-1} x w_\sigma^\Delta w_{\tau^{-1}}^\Delta} \\
&= \overline{\sum_\sigma (\theta(\sigma, \tau^{-1})^{\tau\sigma^{-1}})^{-1} w_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}^{-1} x w_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}^\Delta \theta(\sigma, \tau^{-1})^{\tau\sigma^{-1}}} \\
&= \overline{\sum_\sigma w_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}^{-1} x w_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}^\Delta} \\
&= \text{Res}^{-1}(\bar{x}) .
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $\bar{x} \in [H^{-1}(\Lambda, M)_{(l)}]^G = [(N_\Lambda M / I_\Lambda(M))_{(l)}]^G$ ($x \in_{N_\Lambda} M$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}^{-1} \cdot \text{Cor}^{-1}(\bar{x}) \\
&= \text{Res}^{-1}(\bar{x}) \\
&= \overline{\sum_\sigma w_\sigma^{-1} x w_\sigma^\Delta} \\
&= \sum_\sigma \gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}(\bar{x}) \\
&= |G| \bar{x} .
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let l be a prime integer such that $l \mid N_\Gamma(\Gamma)$ and $l \nmid |G|$. Assume that the group of unit elements in $(\Lambda/N_\Lambda(\Gamma))_{(l)}$ is a finite group. Then the graded ring $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus H^r(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ has an invertible element of nonzero degree if and only if so does $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus H^r(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$. Accordingly, in such a case, the l -primary component of the cohomology group of Γ is periodic.*

Proof. The ‘if’ part is obtained from the fact that there is a ring homomorphism $\text{Res}^* : H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} \rightarrow H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$. Let $u \in H^d(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ for $d \neq 0$ be an invertible element in $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$. By Lemma 2.2, $\gamma_\tau(u) \in H^d(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ is also invertible in $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ for any $\tau \in G$. Then u and $\gamma_\tau(u)$ derive the isomorphisms of Λ -modules, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^d(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)} = (\Lambda/N_\Lambda(\Gamma))_{(l)} , \\
& z \mapsto z \cup_\iota u^{-1} , \quad z \mapsto z \cup_\iota \gamma_\tau(u)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\gamma_\tau(u) = \lambda u$ for a unit element $\bar{\lambda} \in (\Lambda/N_\Lambda(\Gamma))_{(l)}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Note that λ depends on τ . However, there exists a common integer $e > 0$ for all $\tau \in G$ such

that $\gamma_r(u^e) = \lambda^e u^e = u^e$, where we put $u^e = u \cup_i \cdots \cup_i u$ (e times), \cup_i denoting the modified cup product on $H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ ($\iota : \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Gamma$). This says $u^e \in [H^{de}(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}]^G$. Of course, u^e is still invertible. Since there is a ring isomorphism:

$$\text{Res}^* : H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} \xrightarrow{\sim} [H^*(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}]^G$$

by Lemma 1.3 and Proposition 2.3, it follows that $H^{de}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ ($de \neq 0$) has an invertible element in $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$. The last statement follows from [7, Lemma 3.1]. \square

3. Applications

Let m be a square-free integer. Λ denotes the ring of integers in the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. We denote the Galois group of the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})/\mathbb{Q}$ by $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$, $\sigma(\sqrt{m}) = -\sqrt{m}$.

First, we treat the case of $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$. $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ is a Frobenius \mathbb{Z} -algebra with $(1, \sqrt{m})$, $(\sqrt{m}, 1)$ as the \mathbb{Z} -dual bases. We set $\Gamma = \Lambda \oplus \Lambda w_\sigma$, $w_\sigma^2 = \pm 1$; then $N_\Gamma(\Gamma) = 4m$. Note that $w_\sigma^\Delta = -w_\sigma$.

Proposition 3.1. *Notation and assumption being as above, let M be a Σ -module and let l be a prime integer such that $l \mid m$ and $l \neq 2$. Then $H^{-2}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ has an invertible element in $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$, and so $H^r(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}$ is periodic of period 2. In particular, $H^r(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ for r even, $= 0$ for r odd.*

Proof. Since $H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Lambda w_\sigma) \simeq H^0(\Lambda, \Lambda w_\sigma) = 0$, we have $H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Gamma) \simeq H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Lambda)$. By means of an isomorphism

$$\partial : H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{-1}(\Lambda, K(\Lambda)) =_{N_\Lambda} K(\Lambda)/I_\Lambda(K(\Lambda))$$

obtained from a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K(\Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 0$, we have the following isomorphism (see [7, Proposition 3.8]):

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Gamma) &\simeq H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{-1}(\Lambda, K(\Lambda)) =_{N_\Lambda} K(\Lambda)/I_\Lambda(K(\Lambda)) \\ &= (\Lambda/N_\Lambda(\Lambda))(1 \otimes \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{m} \otimes 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda/N_\Lambda(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ has an invertible element u associated to $1 \otimes \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{m} \otimes 1$. Considering the above isomorphisms on the chain level, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X_\Lambda)_1 \otimes_\Omega \Gamma &\leftarrow (X_\Lambda)_1 \otimes_\Omega \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial} (X_\Lambda)_0 \otimes_\Omega K(\Lambda) = K(\Lambda), \\ [y] \otimes_\Omega x &\leftarrow [y] \otimes_\Omega x \mapsto 1 \otimes xy - y \otimes x. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\gamma}_\sigma([y] \otimes_\Omega x) = -[y^\sigma] \otimes_\Omega x^\sigma$ by (2.1), it follows that $\tilde{\gamma}_\sigma(u) = u$. Therefore, by the proof of Theorem 2.4, $H^{-2}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ has an invertible element in $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$, that is, $H^r(\Gamma, M)_{(l)}$ is periodic of period 2.

The statement in case of $r = \text{even}$ immediately follows from

$$H^0(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} = (\mathbb{Z}/N_r(\Gamma))_{(l)} = (\mathbb{Z}/4m\mathbb{Z})_{(l)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)} &= (\textstyle\bigoplus_{\Lambda} \Gamma / I_\Lambda(\Gamma))_{(l)} \\ &= (\Lambda w_\sigma / 2\sqrt{m}(\Lambda w_\sigma))_{(l)} \\ &= \{(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m})w_\sigma / (2m\mathbb{Z} + 2\mathbb{Z}\sqrt{m})w_\sigma\}_{(l)} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), we have $\gamma_\sigma((a + b\sqrt{m})w_\sigma) = w_\sigma^{-1}((a + b\sqrt{m})w_\sigma)w_\sigma^\Delta = -(a - b\sqrt{m})w_\sigma$. This means $\gamma_\sigma = -\iota$ on $H^{-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$, hence, by Proposition 2.3, $H^{-1}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} \simeq [H^{-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}]^G = 0$. \square

Remark 3. By direct calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\Gamma, \Gamma) &\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(3)} \quad (3\text{-times direct sum and so on}), \\ H^0(\Gamma, \Gamma) &\simeq \mathbb{Z}/4m\mathbb{Z}, \\ H^{-1}(\Gamma, \Gamma) &\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(3)}, \\ H^{-2}(\Gamma, \Gamma) &\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(4)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as Proposition 3.1 says, $H^1(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} = H^{-1}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} = 0$ and $H^0(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} = H^{-2}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$.

Next, we treat the case of $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{m})/2]$ is a Frobenius \mathbb{Z} -algebra with $(1, (1 + \sqrt{m})/2), ((-1 + \sqrt{m})/2, 1)$ as the \mathbb{Z} -dual bases. We set $\Gamma = \Lambda \oplus \Lambda w_\sigma$, $w_\sigma^2 = \pm 1$; then $N_r(\Gamma) = m$. Note that $w_\sigma^\Delta = -w_\sigma$.

Proposition 3.2. *Notation and assumption being as above, let M be a Σ -module. Then $H^{-2}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ has an invertible element in $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)$, hence $H^r(\Gamma, M)$ is periodic of period 2. In particular, $H^r(\Gamma, \Gamma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for r even, $= 0$ for r odd.*

Proof. We will prove the proposition in a similar way as Proposition 3.1. In this case, we use the isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{-1}(\Lambda, K(\Lambda)) \\
= (\Lambda/N_\Lambda(\Lambda)) \left(1 \otimes \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2} - \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2} \otimes 1 \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda/N_\Lambda(\Lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

(see [7, Proposition 3.8]). Accordingly, $H^{-2}(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ has an invertible element u associated to $1 \otimes (1 + \sqrt{m})/2 - (1 + \sqrt{m})/2 \otimes 1$, and this implies $\tilde{\gamma}_\sigma(u) = u$. Let l be a prime integer such that $l \mid m$. By the proof of Theorem 2.4, $H^{-2}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$ has an invertible element in $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)}$. While, since $N_r(\Gamma) = m$ and $2 \nmid m$, it follows from (2.3) that $H^{-2}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ has an invertible element in $H^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)$. Hence $H'(\Gamma, M)$ is periodic of period 2.

The statement in case of $r = \text{even}$ follows from

$$H^0(\Gamma, \Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}/N_r(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)} \\
&= ({}_{N_\Lambda} \Gamma / I_\Lambda(\Gamma))_{(l)} \\
&= (\Lambda w_\sigma / \sqrt{m}(\Lambda w_\sigma))_{(l)} \\
&= \left\{ \left(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma / \left(\mathbb{Z} \sqrt{m} + \mathbb{Z} \frac{m+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma \right\}_{(l)} \\
&= \left\{ \left(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \frac{m+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma / \left(\mathbb{Z} m + \mathbb{Z} \frac{m+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma \right\}_{(l)} \\
&\simeq \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_\sigma \left(\left(a + b \frac{m+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma \right) \\
&= w_\sigma^{-1} \left(\left(a + b \frac{m+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma \right) w_\sigma^\Delta \\
&= - \left(a + b \frac{m-\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma \\
&= \left(-a - bm + b \frac{m+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right) w_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

This means $\gamma_\sigma = -\iota$ on $H^{-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}$, hence, by Proposition 2.3, $H^{-1}(\Gamma, \Gamma)_{(l)} \simeq [H^{-1}(\Lambda, \Gamma)_{(l)}]^\sigma = 0$. This implies that $H^{-1}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ itself vanishes. \square

References

- [1] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, The Brauer group of a commutative ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 97 (1960) 367–409.
- [2] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups* (Springer, Berlin, 1982).
- [3] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1956).
- [4] K. Hirata, On relative homological algebra of Frobenius extensions, *Nagoya Math. J.* 15 (1959) 17–28.
- [5] S. Mac Lane, *Homology* (Springer, Berlin, 1975).
- [6] T. Nakayama, On the complete cohomology theory of Frobenius algebras, *Osaka Math. J.* 9 (1957) 165–187.
- [7] K. Sanada, On the cohomology of Frobenius algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, this issue.
- [8] E. Weiss, *Cohomology of Groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1969).